INVESTMENT STRATEGY

NBIM CEO YNGVE SLYNGSTAD
OSLO, 17 DECEMBER 2015

NORGES BANK
INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT

Questions

1. How much should the fund invest in real estate?
2. Should the fund invest in unlisted infrastructure?
3. Is there a need to adjust the management framework?

Supporting analyses

Our “Peers”



Source: based on latest annual/quarterly reports, NBIM calculations

How much should the fund invest in real estate?

2006

Norges Bank recommends MoF to include real estate in the fund

2010

MoF gives mandate to invest up to 5% in real estate

2011

London

Paris

2012

Berlin

2013

New York

Boston

Washington DC

2014

Munich

Logistics

San Francisco

2015

Tokyo and Singapore announced as strategic markets

More than NOK 200 bn in real estate

Billion kroner



Source: NBIM

13 COUNTRIES
123 HOLDING COMPANIES
849 PROPERTIES
2,100 TENANTS
14m SQM LOGISTICS
3m SQM OFFICE/RETAIL

GPFG real estate returns

Measured in fund currency basket

Source: NBIM

Real estate returns

Measured in fund currency basket



Source: NBIM, FTSE, Barclays and IPD
*The IPD global index is released annually. The latest numbers available are the return figures for 2014.

Real estate costs

One-off transaction costs not included



Source: NBIM

1

Reflect universe

Inflation hedge

Illiquidity premium

Absolute return

Diversification

Real estate factor

Deliver cash flow

Real estate yields and interest rates

There is no clear link



Source: CBRE, BoE

Correlation with equities and bonds

Confirm that real estate returns follow different pattern, but vary over time



Source: NCREIF, Bloomberg. S&P 500 used as equity index and Barclays 10y government bond index used as bond index.
Private RE calculated using NCREIF, desmoothed to fit autocorrelation of US REITs.

Factors driving real estate returns

Economic factors
- GDP growth
- Industrial output
- Consumption

Financial factors
- Interest rates
- Inflation
- Term structure

Structural factors
- Supply and demand
- Market constraints
- Market leverage
- Liquidity

Statistical factors*

Factor loadings	F1	F2	F3
Bonds	-0.1	0.0	**-0.4**
Equities	0.1	**0.6**	0.3
Private real estate (de-smoothed)	**0.8**	0.2	0.1

* Principal components extraction with orthogonal varimax rotation, based on 1978-2014 quarterly returns. US data.

Global trends influencing real estate

GLOBALISATION	Global cities and supply chains. Retail “show-windows”
TECHNOLOGY	E-commerce. Smart buildings
SUSTAINABILITY	Lower costs. Higher demand. Properties for the future
DEMOGRAPHY	Population and middle class growth. Age increase
URBANISATION	Global trend, but highest effect for Asia and emerging markets

Real estate asset class size



Sources: DTZ Money Into Property (2014), FTSE Global All Cap, Barclays Global Aggregate Bond Index (2015), Oxford Economics (2015)

Academic research results



Source: Seiler et al. (1999) and NBIM own research

Investor target allocation to real estate



* Including Sovereign Wealth Funds

Source: Cornell University (2013)

1 How much should the fund invest in real estate?

10 percent defined as a range of +/- 5 percent around target

Infrastructure investments



WHAT?	A heterogonous set of investment opportunities
WHY?	Secure long-term purchasing power of the fund
HOW?	A wide range of opportunities available – total portfolio approach warranted

Distinguishing features

- Provisions of key public services
- Economies of scale
- Long project life-cycle
- Limited competition
  - Natural monopolies
  - Regulated assets
  - Government concessions

Infrastructure investments

Long-term investments that could provide attractive attributes

Diversification	Yield	Stability	Duration	Inflation
Relatively low correlation with other assets	Existing assets generate free cash flow	Essential service High barriers to entry Less exposed to business cycles	Cash-flow often regulated in long-term contracts	Cash-flows often adjusted for inflation

A heterogeneous set of investment opportunities



Source: First State Investments and NBIM. For illustration purposes only.

The opportunity set is global

Substantial funding needs going forward



Source: Blackrock, data sourced from Dealogic as of December 31 2014

The opportunity set may increase

Substantial funding needs going forward



Sources: McKinsey Global Institute, McKinsey Infrastructure Practice, "Infrastructure Productivity: How to save 1tn USD a year", January 2013. National Economic Counsel and the President's Council of Economic Advisors, "An Economic Analysis of Transportation Infrastructure Investment", July 2014.
Estimate need based on projected growth 2013-2030 assuming infrastructure stock is maintained at 70% of GDP.
Actual spend calculated using weighted average annual expenditure over years of available data, 1992-2011.

Key risks with infrastructure

- Liquidity risk
- Currency risk
- Regulatory risks

Key pillars of strategy

Preliminary thoughts

- Capitalise on the fund’s comparative advantages
- Concentrate on assets with high cash flow visibility
- Build on existing competence
- Establish strategic partnerships

2 Should the fund invest in unlisted infrastructure?

Yes, but cautious approach is warranted, 0-5 percent range

Management framework



Mandate concepts do not work for unlisted

▪ **Index**	➢ ***Not investable***
▪ **Currency basket**	➢ ***Not given by index***
▪ **Risk tolerance**	➢ ***Real estate adds equity-like exposure***
▪ **Relative risk**	➢ ***No frequently updated market prices***
▪ **Rebalancing**	➢ ***Lack of liquid market place***
▪ **Financial investor**	➢ ***Strategic investor***

Real estate index not investable

NBIM unlisted RE vs IPD – countries

IPD



Gross exposure NBIM unlisted RE



Notes: IPD data is estimated market value as of year-end 2014, NBIM unlisted data is as of end Oct 2015. Category “Other” in IPD includes Australia (34%), Canada (47%), New Zealand (3%), South Africa (7%), South Korea (9%).

Currency basket?

GPFG unlisted real estate



GPFG currency basket



IPD



GPFG FI benchmark



Risk tolerance

Real estate investments add equity-like exposure



Source: IPD, MSCI, NBIM calculations. US data only. Period 1991 to 2015.
De-smoothed IPD US return series, all auto correlation removed. For illustration purposes only.

We propose to keep as is

- Ministry of Finance decides **asset allocation**
  - Asset classes
  - Ranges
  - Rebalancing
- Ministry of Finance decides **performance measure** and **relative risk limit**
  - Reference index
  - Currency basket
  - Tracking error

To deal with these challenges…

- The IPD index – not adequate
- Currency composition of real estate portfolio differs from funding
- Real estate not considered in owner's risk tolerance
- Real estate not included in relative risk calculations

…we propose

- The IPD index – not adequate
  - reference index for fund made up of bonds and equities only
- Currency composition of real estate portfolio differs from funding
  - real estate included in total currency risk
- Real estate not considered in owner's risk tolerance
  - real estate included in total market risk
- Real estate not included in relative risk calculations
  - real estate included in relative risk

Advice

1. **How much should the fund invest in real estate?**
   10 percent defined as a range of +/- 5 percent around target

2. **Should the fund invest in unlisted infrastructure?**
   Yes, but cautious approach is warranted, 0 to 5 percent range

3. **Is there a need to adjust the management framework?**
   Yes, a more holistic framework should be adopted, while MoF takes the most important decisions

OUR MISSION IS TO SAFEGUARD AND BUILD FINANCIAL WEALTH FOR FUTURE GENERATIONS

NORGES BANK
INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT